# RIC 2004 Reactor Oversight Process, Session W5

ROP Areas for Refinement
David Garchow
Vice President – Engineering and Technical Support
PSEG Nuclear
March 10, 2004



## ROP – What is Working

- Ongoing assessment through the Action Matrix
- Annual assessment letter with inspection schedule
- PIs and findings on the NRC webpage
- Increased objectivity
- Inspection report format



- Eliminate vagueness related to opening and closing Cross Cutting Issues
  - Extend objectivity found in the rest of the process to cross cutting issues
  - Provide additional guidance for licensees to incorporate into their programs
  - Increase predictability and stakeholder confidence



- Allow 3 WHITES in a Cornerstone before it becomes DEGRADED and implement graded reset for findings
  - Consistent with basic tenet of ROP that there will be WHITES
  - Increase licensee acceptance of first WHITE
  - Reduce time and resources spent on SDPs



- Improve the SDP process
  - Time and resources could be saved and consistency could be improved by:
    - Earlier licensee involvement
    - Simplification or elimination of Phase 2
    - Incorporating lessons learned into SDP guidance
    - Making screening criteria more objective
    - Publishing more detailed Phase 3 guidance



- Give credit for Licensee Self-Assessment in lieu of NRC inspections
  - NRC resources can be better reallocated to address more risk significant issues
  - Places more licensee emphasis on corrective action program
  - Improves licensee ability to self-identify issues

